

BPA Public Involvement

From: illbfish@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 12:05 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

bill mertz

none

illbfish@yahoo.com

503-655-4298

13351 nobel road

oregon city or 97045

Spill water not kill fish. I am tired of the nonsense

BPA Public Involvement

From: middlecarl68@outdrs.net
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2004 8:17 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Carl Middleton

middlecarl68@outdrs.net
360 636-0937
640 Ragland Rd.
Longview WA 98632

Sunday, June 13, 2004 To whom it may concern. RE: BPA Summer Spill. I support the Bonneville Power Administration's amended 2004 summer spill proposal because it is a step in the right direction; it meets the objective of saving more fish for less money. Also, I believe BPA in the years to come, can further reduce the spill. Reducing spill now will lower cost and help the Northwest economy. As an energy user in your state, I am in favor of an approach that meets the objectives of saving more fish using less money. Thanks for listening Carl Middleton 640 Ragland Rd. Longview, WA 98632 E-Mail middlecarl68@outdrs.net

BPA Public Involvement

From: djmurphy0853@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 11:35 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

DAve Murphy

djmurphy0853@hotmail.com
509-547-5388
1120 N Rd 54
Pasco Wa 99301

Hi, I am a concerned ratepayer. Within a very short period of time, our rates in the Pacific Northwest have gone from attractive to outrageous. I am not familiar with all of the issues involved but to spill critical water for the sake of saving a minimal number of fish seems ludicrous. I am asking that BPA reconsider this position and instead of thinking of fish, consider the rate payers of the region and give us some rate relief. Sincerley, Dave Murphy

BPA Public Involvement

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 1:52 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

William Mullican

No E-mail Address Submitted

11707 se 64th ave
milwaukie or 97222

Would love to see more water spilled to help the fish make it to ocean and back.

BPA Public Involvement

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 8:55 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

mark mossman

fisherman

No E-mail Address Submitted

503 566 7608

4446 jade st ne

saalem or 97305

just thought i would take a minute to tell you that i really like to fish on the Columbia for salmon and i would love to be able to take my kids fishing there. i think spill curtailment would have a huge impact on all spring and fall chinook runs i would love to see this spill curtailment stoped Mark Mossman

BPA Public Involvement

From: ownomo@earthlink.net
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 5:43 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Owen Moses
Engineer Retired
ownomo@earthlink.net
503-694-2894
8249 SW Fairway Drive
Wilsonville Or 97070

I support the Bonneville Power Administration's amended 2004 summer spill proposal because it is a step in the right direction; it meets the objective of saving more fish for less money. Also, I believe BPA in the years to come, can further reduce the spill. Reducing spill now will lower costs and help the Northwest economy. As an energy user in your state, I am in favor of an approach that meets the objectives of saving more fish using less money. Thanks for listening. Mr. Horace Moses Retired Project Engineer 8249 SW Fairway Drive Wilsonville Or 97070 Email: ownomo@earthlink.net

BPA Public Involvement

From: gemstar@centurytel.net
Sent: Monday, June 14, 2004 12:19 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Tony Moore
retired business manager
gemstar@centurytel.net
253-549-2774
784 Fox Drive
Fox Island WA 98333

While I generally support proposals that combine good research and analysis, consider competing interests and weigh risks carefully to achieve improved business results, your Summer Spill Proposal has an added factor that must be considered - an error could result in a devastating loss of our already diminished salmon stock. Since I see only one reference of support (NOAA), it concerns me that you have not done adequate research to support your proposal. Good science and research are available and I request you consider more of these in your analysis. Please do not let dollars and politics outweigh other available information. And, if you must err, please err on the side of maintaining a natural resource for your children and mine. Don't misunderstand me, I am not necessarily opposed to your proposal, but I am uncomfortable with you presenting only one source (or a very limited number) of available science. My support hinges on three conditions being met. 1) do your research using all available sources to ensure low risk to future salmon stocks. 2) monitor results carefully as you implement your spill program. 3) have a contingency plan to continue or increase the spill if the enhancement targets are not being met. Saving money, jobs and maintaining a adequate power supply are all important, saving the salmon is a greater priority. Once gone, they are likely lost forever. Thank you for considering my comments.

BPA Public Involvement

From: dukndogs@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 11:34 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Rick Montes
Concerned Citizen
dukndogs@aol.com
541 667 8051
940 W Olive Ave
Hermiston Or 97838

I have been reviewing your plans to minimize water spill. In doing so, you are threating the very exsistance of our NW Salmon and Steelhead. I find your proposal to be irrisponsable. Spill don't kill. Rick

BPA Public Involvement

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 1:46 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Laura Miller
Northwest citizen
No E-mail Address Submitted

2533 SW Huber St.
Portland OR 97219

We have invested so much money over the years to save salmon from disappearing forever and you want to (supposedly) save the ratepayers money by risking the salmon via reducing spill. We may as well spill all that money invested over the damn if that's your solution to saving us money. If northwesterners are willing to spend several dollars a pound to buy salmon to eat do you think they'd rather have a few pennies in rate savings than salmon?!

BPA Public Involvement

From: millekri@onid.orst.edu
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 9:07 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Kris Miller
Student
millekri@onid.orst.edu

NA
Corvallis OR 97330

I feel that this decision is more economically driven and that they are labeling it science in an attempt to merely assuage some of the arguing that may ensue. Do the right thing, let science be an unbiased objector to drive policy decisions.

BPA Public Involvement

From: bill@xtremecomm.net
Sent: Friday, June 11, 2004 8:55 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Bill Mildfelt

bill@xtremecomm.net
503-710-7353
925 SW Lakeshore Dr.
Estacada OR 97023

This year has made it very clear to me how important spilling water is to the recovery of salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River and Snake River Systems. 2001 there were no spills and the Spring Chinook have suffered tremendously from the effects of not spilling water. The original estimates were for 360,000 fish to return and the run looks like it will only be about 200,000 this is very upsetting. Please do the right thing and make the spills mandatory.

BPA Public Involvement

From: AnonymousComment@somewhere.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2004 6:40 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://webit2/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

MIke

No E-mail Address Submitted

This years salmon run estimates were off by 40%. We had no dam spill in 2001 , and the data is starting to show what a disaster that was for adult salmon returning to their native waters. We have another year of returns to look at before the entire story is told, but the first run of spring Chinook back from that year, was missing HALF of the 4 year olds, and the next year the 5 year olds were missing. Both components were 2001 out migrants. I think it is a very bad idea to reduce spills for salmon and hope that you'll reconsider for the sake of our fisheries. Salmon runs for the Willamette were very strong. Spills are not an issue there and ocean conditions would be the same for returning fish from the Willamette and Columbia. It seems to show that reduction of spills is what affected the Columbia return so dramatically. Please take this letter into consideration. -Mike

BPA Public Involvement

From: MIKENSUZY@COMCAST.NET
Sent: Sunday, June 13, 2004 9:17 AM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

MIKE

MIKENSUZY@COMCAST.NET

REDUCED SPILL FLOWS KILLS TOO MANY SALMON. SAVE THE SALMON, THANK YOU

BPA Public Involvement

From: bkopacz@midstateelectric.coop
Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2004 2:40 PM
To: comment@bpa.gov
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

Bill Kopacz

Midstate Electric Cooperative Inc.

bkopacz@midstateelectric.coop

541-536-7215

P.O. Box 127/51340 N Highway 97

LaPine Or 97739

To BPA: At our last Annual Meeting in May with 650 people in attendance, our membership wanted rate stability over a long period of time. The elimination of the summer spill program (note elimination) allows BPA to make system changes to maintain rate stability to our cooperative. Which then allows the cooperative to maintain rate stability to our membership. Lets terminate the Summer Spill program and allow the savings of money to return to people who need it the most, the rate payers. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

BPA Public Involvement

From: murphymn@teleport.com
Sent: Saturday, June 12, 2004 3:32 PM
To: BPA Public Involvement
Subject: Comment on Summer Spill Proposal

Comment on **Summer Spill Proposal**

View open comment periods on <http://www.bpa.gov/corporate/kc/home/comment.cfm>

John Murphy
Retired
murphymn@teleport.com
360-274-7217
5308 Westside Hwy
Castle Rock WA 98611

I would like to go on record as being opposed to the BPA Summer Spill Proposal. We cannot gamble that reduced flows will not seriously threaten the downstream migration of our salmon and steelhead resource. In addition, reduced flows will increase the water temperature of the lower river, negatively impacting upstream migrations. I realize that if the summer spill proposal is not implemented power rates may increase. Although on a fixed income I will gladly suffer increased rates if it will help our salmon and steelhead resource. Sincerely, John Murphy